

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/785,312	02/20/2001	Franciscus Richard Blom	142-360P	1993	
2292 7590 04/02/2002 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			EXAMINER BUDD, MARK OSBORNE		
			2834		

DATE MAILED: 04/02/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
785 31	785312			
Examiner	1. Bu	<i>dd</i>	Group Art Unit	
ars on the cover	sheet be	eneath the c	orrespondence addr	ess—
	2			jw
s, a response within	the statuto	ory minimum of 5 from the mailir	thirty (30) days will be con ng date of this communica	sidered timely. tion .
				·
ot for formal matte 35 C.D. 1 1; 453	ers, pros O.G. 213	secution as t 3.	o the merits is close	a in
		is/are	pending in the applic	ation.
		is/are	withdrawn from cons	ideration.
		is/are	e allowed.	
		is/are	e rejected.	
		is/ard	e objected to.	
		are s	subject to restriction or irement.	election
ing Review, PTO	-948.			
is □ a	pproved	☐ disappro	ved.	
ected to by the E	xamıner.			
•				
of the priority doc	uments	nave been		
nber) <u>oq / 3 3</u>	5 5 4	Rule 1 7.2(a	 \)).	
			•	
2/2-2	0-01	∃Interview Si	ımmarv. PTO-413	
w Nic/c/\ OF 1 U W		JIIIIGI VIG W OL		
er No(s).	<i>.</i>	Notice of Inf	formal Patent Applicat	ion, PTO-152
er No(s). - (L-	I MOTICE OF ILL	formal Patent Applicat	
	Examiner Ars on the cover SET TO EXPIRE. 1.136(a). In no even s, a response within efault, expire SIX (6) I, by statute, cause the country of the formal matter of the priority documber) of the priority documber) of the priority documber) of the priority documber o	Examiner M. Bu ars on the cover sheet by SET TO EXPIRE	Examiner M. Budd ars on the cover sheet beneath the country of the priority documents have been disapproved by the priority documents have been mber) 1.186 (a). In no event, however, may a response, a response within the statutory minimum of efault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailir li, by statute, cause the application to become is/are	TRIS 313 Group Art Unit 28 34 ars on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address on the cover sheet sh

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Art Unit: 2834

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Japan (100) (figs. 3 & 5).

In figure of 3 of Japan the structure of active and inactive regions is shown with the collector or take out electrodes provided as claimed. Applicant asserts that the common electrode 11 exhausts only on the outer surfaces and therefore does not alternate with the signal and auxiliary electrodes i.e. some signal and/or auxiliary electrodes are not adjacent common electrodes. The examiner is unable to confirm or refute applicants position due to the fuzzy schematic nature of fig. 3. However, fig. 5 of Japan (1200) clearly shows that the ground (common) electrode #11 is interleaved between every signal and dummy electrode. Thus Japan (100) clearly anticipates these claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3
Serial Number: 09/785,312

Art Unit: 2834

Claims 4-7, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japan (100), Naka or Prior art in view of Uehara, Dibbern or Okumura.

Japan (100) (fig. 3) Naka (fig. 16) and the Prior Art (applicants fig. 4) teach the basic piezoelectric actuator except for the specific lead arrangements. Each of Uehara, Aibbern and Okumura teach that location of electrode leads in piezoelectric transduces is a matter of convience and expediency. As demonstrated, the leads can be brought to a single top surface (Okumura) and/or multiple side location sat the designers discretion. Such choices are a matter of obvious design options as would be apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art. The length of the piezo element relative to the ink channel is also a routine design consideration. (Note e.g. Europe (939) fig. 9).

Budd/ds

03/30/02

MARN U. BUDD RIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 212